

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,440	01/11/2002	Kevin W. Haulk	10110.00	8408	
26884	7590 11/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PAUL W. MARTIN LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-4 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.			DU, THUAN N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, (	OH 45479-0001		2116		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·/1				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/044,440	HAULK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thuan N. Du	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  INSIGN TENDED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  INSIGN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  INSIGN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  INSIGN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  INSIGN (7) Period (7) P	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day,  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 6 133)				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	nuary 2002.					
	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2116

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of prior art [AAPA] and Sugahara et al. [Sugahara].
- 4. Regarding claims 1 and 6-8, Sugahara teaches a method of reducing power consumption by an electronic device (computing device) comprising the steps of:

determining a time period when the electronic device is not used [col. 4, lines 55-58; col. 6, lines 20-23; col. 8, lines 15-18];

transmitting at least one message to the electronic device instructing the device to enter a reduced power consumption mode by turning off at least a portion of the receiver during the time period [col. 4, lines 36-39, 45-48, 55-58; col. 8, lines 52-58];

turning off at least a portion of the receiver by the electronic device at the beginning of the time period to enter the reduced power consumption mode [col. 5, lines 60-63; col. 9, lines 41-45].

Application/Control Number: 10/044,440 Page 3

Art Unit: 2116

turning on the electronic device at the end of the time period to resume normal operation [col. 8, lines 15-16].

Sugahara does not explicitly teach that the electronic device is an electronic shelf label (ESL). However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the ESL is an electronic device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teachings of Sugahara to remotely and centrally managing and controlling the ESLs power consumption, so that the ESLs can be utilized efficiently from the point of the power consumption [col. 2, lines 22-27].

- 5. Regarding claims 2-4, Sugahara teaches the start and end time and a duration for the reduced power consumption mode [col. 8, lines 15-18].
- 6. Regarding claim 5, Sugahara teaches the system including a plurality of electronic devices [col. 4, lines 24-26, 36-39].
- 7. Regarding claim 9, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the electronic device ceases to monitor for received messages during an off state.
- 8. Regarding claim 10, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the electronic device can be wakening up by depressing a button.
- 9. Regarding claims 11-19, Sugahara teaches the claimed method steps. Therefore, Sugahara teaches the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.

## **Conclusion**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (571) 272-3673. The

Application/Control Number: 10/044,440

Art Unit: 2116

examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday-Friday: 10:00 AM - 8:30 PM,

Page 4

EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670.

Central TC telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Thuan N. Du

November 11, 2004